1	JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332) United States Attorney	
2 3	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) Chief, Criminal Division	
4	DENISE MARIE BARTON (MABN 63405 Assistant United States Attorney	2)
5 6	450 Golden Gate Ave., Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7200 Fax: (415) 436-7234	
7		
8	E-Mail: denise.barton@usdoj.gov	
9	Attorneys for the United States of America	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) CR No. 09-0798 MHP
14 15	Plaintiff,) PROPOSED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM MARCH 22, 2010 TO APRIL 26,
16	V.) 2010
17	JESUS AGUIRRE VALDES,))
18	Defendant.))
19	On March 22, 2010, Defendant Jesus Aguirre Valdes, represented by attorney Shana	
20	Keating, and the United States, represented by Assistant United States Attorney Denise Marie	
21	Barton appeared before the Court for a Status Hearing. At the hearing, counsel for the defense	
22	advised the Court that government counsel had provided the defense with a timely plea offer but	
23	that due to obligations in a pending complex, multi-defendant case, that she had not had	
24	sufficient time to discuss outstanding issues with government counsel and continue discussions	
25	regarding resolution of the matter.	
26	For all these reasons, the parties requested a continuance until April 26, 2010 and agreed	
27	that time was properly excluded between March 22, 2010 and April 26, 2010 under the Speedy	
28		
	<u>U.S. v. VALDES</u> , PROPOSED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME FROM MARCH 22, 2010 TO APRIL 26, 2010, CR No. 09-0798 MHP	

Trial Clock for continuity of counsel and to counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

Based upon the representation of counsel and for good cause shown, the Court finds that failing to exclude the time between March 22, 2010 and April 26, 2010 would unreasonably deny the parties continuity of counsel and the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv). The Court further finds that the ends of justice served by excluding the time between March 22, 2010 and April 26, 2010 from computation under the Speedy Trial Act outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between March 22, 2010 and April 26, 2010 shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

13 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

SO ORDERED:

16 17

15

DATED: March 30, 2010

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED Judge Marilyn H. Patel THE DISTRICT